The study entitled “Geographic Inequalities and Determinants of Aneamia among Preeclamptic Women in Bangladesh” tries to identify the suitable predictors of Aneamia among Preeclamptic Women. The study lacks novelty and authors should also elaborate regression model-building approach for ease understanding. The authors should rewrite their Introduction and Discussion to reference the recent related literature. The objective also confuses that the determinants are for Aneamia or Preeclampsia.  
  
1. The gap is not clear. An explanation of geographic inequalities is not mentioned in the introduction/literature review.  
2. In addition, there are numerous articles on the same topic but only a few of them are mentioned in the literature review section.  
3. The introduction section didn’t follow logical sequences. Overall, there is a great deal of room for improvement in both writing and grammar. I advise the authors to work with a writing coach or copy editor to improve the flow and readability of the text.  
4. Authors should explain the rationale behind the selection of independent variables, their categories, such as any conceptual framework or prior research.

5. “P values for comparing quantitative variables were determined using the chi-square test.” Please accurate this sentence. Chi-square test for categorical variable.

6. Authors should describe dependent and independent variables separately before the description of the model.  
7. The authors just describe the model’s name but it is unclear how the multinomial logistic regression model was established such as which method was followed (i.e.; forward entry, backward selection, enter method, etc.) or on what basis regressors were chosen to build the final model. Any model evaluation methods were applied like AUROC/AIC/BIC?

8. P-values are missing in all tables and results. Authors should provide them.

9. “Geographical heterogeneity of the IR and cluster analysis” Cluster analysis is missing in whole manuscripts and also not explained in the methodology. Please change that title.   
10. In the discussion section, the authors attempted to explain their findings from a broad standpoint in which anemia components are absent.